

There's never enough time


*Doing requirements under resource constraints,
and what Requirements Engineering can learn
from agile development*

Bernd Waldmann, Phonak AG

- This talk is not about Requirements Engineering for *Agile Development Projects*
- This talk is about *Agile Requirements Engineering* for any Development Projects

Agile Software Engineering *inspires* Agile Requirements Engineering

- don't copy terms & concepts
- map terms & concepts to appropriate analogs
- Then translate insights from Agile SE

- 
- Part I: Concepts
 - Part II: Case Study

- “Working Product”
- “Customer Value”

... more in the paper

“Product”

Agile Software Engineering

Product := the engineering deliverable ... i.e. car, webshop

Intended use = “drive from A to B”, “buy things online”

Product Owner :=
has *market insight*, empowered to decide (e.g. product manager)

Agile Requirements Engineering

Product := the requirements engineering deliverable ... i.e. Requirements Spec

Intended use = “build satisfying product, on time, on budget”

Requirements “Owner” :=
has *engineering insight*, empowered to decide (e.g. engineering manager)

Insight #1: Working product

Agile Software Engineering

- Always have a **working product**
 - Walking skeleton
 - Add features by iteration
 - BUT: Don't change existing features in every release

Agile Requirements Engineering

- Always have a **deliverable requirements specification**
 - Publish a table of contents
 - Add “chapters” by iteration
 - BUT: don't change existing chapters in every release

“Customer Value”

Agile Software Engineering

Customer :=

- Driver of a car
- Shopper in webshop
- ...

Customer value :=

Customer can do *necessary* things

Agile Requirements Engineering

Customer :=

- Developer
- Tester
- Product Manager
- ...

Customer value :=

Developer gets *necessary* information, reduces **risk** of building the wrong thing

Insight #2: what should you work on now?

Agile Software Engineering

- Rank by **customer value**
- First build the feature most important to the customer
- Re-order items based on new **market insight**

Agile Requirements Engineering

- Rank by **business risk**
- First specify the parts with highest risk of misunderstanding & ... highest demand ... most urgent ...
- Re-order items based on new **risk insight**

Agile Software Engineering

User Story :=
small item that delivers
value to the user

Define “done”:

- Designed, coded, tested, ...
- end user **can use product**,
- product functions as expected
- end user is satisfied

Agile Requirements Engineering

Requirements Chapter :=
small item that delivers value
to the business / developer

Define “done”:

- Analyzed, written, reviewed, ...
- developer **can use req'ts spec**,
- project risk as low as expected
- organization is satisfied

Insight #3 how to structure your work

Agile Software Engineering

- Each product release contains a small number of new **User Stories**, each of which delivers value to the customer

Agile Requirements Engineering

- Each release/publication of the Requirements Spec contains a small number of new **Chapters**, each of which delivers value to the business



Case Study

- R&D organization
 - In-house development
 - many of them were involved in previous platforms generations, too
 - many of them have some domain knowledge

... more detailed information in the paper

- “Platforms System Definition” project
 - System requirements for generic hearing instruments, accessories, configuration software
 - 4th generation, brown field, inherit >70% from previous generation

... more detailed information in the paper

Remember: “Customer value” of RE :=
reduced business risk (wrong/late implementation)

Our “triage” questions:

- high business risk without good system requirements?
- lower business risk with good system requirements?

... these are not trivial questions!

Triage strategy

<i>Condition</i>	<i>Added value thru detailed req'ts</i>	<i>Typical example</i>
was it implemented in previous generation? (“ same as ... ”)	low	“classical” hearing aid features: amplification, signal processing
is it new and complex externally visible behavior	HIGH	usability / behavior / interaction with user
is it new and involves multiple products (hearing aid, accessory, ...)	HIGH	Connectivity between hearing instrument and mobile phone
is it new and must be explored with prototypes	low	PC software features, with focus on GUI

Multi-stage delivery

1. requirements with apparent impact on ASIC definition
 - needed by chip designers, longest lead time
 - ~6 months after start
 2. requirements with apparent impact on software architecture
 - needed by software architects, long lead time
 - ~ 4 months after 1st cut
 3. requirements with impact on system (more than a single component), needed by implementers for multiple components – ongoing
 4. all other requirements (reverse-engineering), needed by testers – ongoing
-
- at each stage: triage according to business value



Lessons learned

Lessons learned: **inform your stakeholders**

- **inform stakeholders early about multi-stage process**
 - avoid “is this final?” questions
 - avoid “xyz is missing” response
 - Table of contents !

- **inform stakeholders early about triage strategy**
 - avoid “xyz is missing” response
 - avoid “why will xyz not be implemented?” misunderstanding

Lessons learned: **deliver even more frequently**

- 4-6 months release cycle too long
- Enough to deal with limited resources
- Not enough to provide frequent learning opportunities for requirements authors
- New approach: ~monthly release

Lessons learned: **handle multiple levels of detail**

- Slice it horizontally and vertically:
 - **Vertical**: feature A, feature B, feature C, ...
 - **Horizontal**: high-level concept, mid-level feature list, low-level details

Conclusion

- Applied concepts & insights from agile development to agile RE
- We would do it again, even more thorough
- Know your “customer” & environment, i.e. the readers of requirements specs: domain knowledge? Green field / brown field?
- Turn a constraint (limited RE resources) into an asset (really focus on what the “customer” wants)

